

191 River Landing Myrtle Beach, SC 29579

Grades K-8 Elementary School

Enrollment 133 Students

 Principal
 Mr. Steve Wilson
 843-236-3689

 Superintendent
 Dr. Cynthia Elsberry
 843-488-6700

 Board Chair
 Joe DeFeo
 843-222-5912

# 2013 REPORT CARD

#### RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD YFAR ABSOLUTE RATING GROWTH RATING 2013 Average Average 2012 Average Good 2011 Average Excellent 2010 Average Good 2009 Good Average

### **DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS**

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

### SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov


## Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating

Percent of students tested in 2012-13 whose 2011-12 test scores were located

95.7%

ABOUTE TO THINK OF ELEMENTARY OFFICES WITH OFFICE EIRE OFFICE							
Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk			
11	20	90	10	1			

<sup>\*</sup> Ratings are calculated with data available by 12/14/2013.



<sup>\*</sup> Elementary schools with Students Like Ours are elementary schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of Critical Terms					
Exemplary "Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level					
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.				
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.				

# School Profile

College P To like	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n=133)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/R	N/R	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	0.0%	Down from 2.9%	1.2%	0.9%
Attendance rate	96.6%	Down from 97.6%	96.0%	96.3%
Served by gifted and talented program	12.5%	N/A	5.2%	7.2%
With disabilities	25.0%	N/A	14.1%	12.4%
Older than usual for grade	3.7%	N/A	2.6%	1.9%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	N/R	N/R	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=17)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	29.4%	Down from 46.7%	61.1%	62.5%
Continuing contract teachers	29.4%	Down from 53.3%	83.3%	83.3%
Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	88.0%	88.3%
Teacher attendance rate	99.0%	N/R	94.6%	95.0%
Average teacher salary*	\$36,565	Down 12.2%	\$47,372	\$48,193
Professional development days/teacher	N/R	N/R	11.0 days	11.0 days
School				
Principal's years at school	N/R	N/R	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	N/R	N/R	19.9 to 1	20.1 to 1
Prime instructional time	95.4%	N/R	89.5%	90.0%
Opportunities in the arts	N/R	N/R	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	N/R	N/R	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	N/R	N/R	100.0%	100.0%
Character development program	N/R	N/R	Excellent	Excellent
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$23,089	Up 94.7%	\$7,409	\$7,364
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	33.0%	Down from 56.0%	68.0%	68.0%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	30.0%	Down from 47.9%	65.0%	66.0%

<sup>\*</sup> Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

# Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents						
Teachers Students* Parents*						
Number of surveys returned	1	15	23			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	N/A	66.7%	95.7%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	N/A	60%	91.3%			
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	N/A	80%	91.3%			

<sup>\*</sup> Only students at the highest elementary school grade level and their parents were included.

### ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System

In July 2013, the South Carolina Department of Education was granted a waiver from several accountability requirements of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). This waiver allowed SC to replace the former pass/fail system with one that utilizes more of the statewide assessments already in place and combine these subject area results with graduation rate (in high schools) to determine if each school met the target or made progress toward the target. This analysis results in a letter grade for the school rather than the pass/fail system of previous years. For a detailed review of the matrix for each school and districts that determined the letter grade, please use the following link: http://ed.sc.gov/data/esea/ or request this information from your child's district or school.

Overall Weighted Points Total	95.7
Overall Grade Conversion	A

Index Score	Grade	Description
90-100	Α	Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations.
80-89.9	В	Performance exceeds the state's expectations.
70-79.9	С	Performance meets the state's expectations.
60-69.9	D	Performance does not meet the state's expectations.
Less than 60	F	Performance is substantially below the state's expectations

Α	
ccoun	
tability	
Indica <sup>-</sup>	
tor for	
Title	
I Sc	
hool	
S	

RRIDGEWATER	$\Delta C \Delta D E M Y$	CHARTER	echanl has	heen de	esianated as a

	Title I Reward School for Performance - among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year.  Title I Reward School for Progress – one of the schools with substantial progress in student subgroups.  Title I Focus School – one of the schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups.  Title I Priority School – one of the 5% lowest performing Title I schools.
<u></u>	Title I School – does not qualify as Reward, Focus or Priority School.  Non-Title I School – therefore the designations above are not applicable.

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	1.6%	2.3%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.6%	4.9%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	41.8%	0.0%	No
Student attendance rate	96.6%	94.0%*	Yes

<sup>\*</sup> Or greater than last year

BRIDGEWATER ACADEMY CHARTER					12/14/13-2601601	
Performance By Group						
Subgroups	ELA Mean	Math Mean	Science Mean	Social Studies Mean	ELA % Tested	Math % Tested
Grades 3-5						
All Students	662.4	641.6	621.0	644.5	100.0	100.0
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100.0	100.0
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100.0	100.0
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100.0	100.0
African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100.0	100.0
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100.0	100.0
Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)	635.0	635.0	635.0	635.0	95.0	95.0

שואום	OLWATILITA	10/10EWIT OF	1/ U X I L I X			14/17	710 200 100 1
PASS Performance By Grade Level							
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
			Englis	h/Language A	rts		
	3	16	87.5	15.4	30.8	53.8	84.6
~	4	16	75	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	100
2012	5	14	100	15.4	46.2	38.5	84.6
20	6	16	100	27.3	54.5	18.2	72.7
	7	25	100	27.3	27.3	45.5	72.7
	8	12	100	54.5	18.2	27.3	45.5
	3	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
3	4	17	100	33.3	33.3	33.3	66.7
2013	5	17	100	7.1	78.6	14.3	92.9
7(	6	15	100	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	7	15	100	7.7	53.8	38.5	92.3
	8	20	100	26.3	15.8	57.9	73.7
			N	lathematics			
	3	16	100	46.7	40	13.3	53.3
2	4	16	93.8	35.7	57.1	7.1	64.3
2012	5	14	100	38.5	53.8	7.7	61.5
2(	6	16	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	45.5
	7	25	100	27.3	36.4	36.4	72.7
	8	12	100	36.4	54.5	9.1	63.6
	3	10	I/S	I/S 25	I/S	I/S	I/S
3	4	17	100	25	58.3	16.7	75
2013	5	17	100	28.6	57.1	14.3	71.4
2	6	15	100	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	7	15	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	38.5
	8	20	100	26.3	31.6	42.1	73.7
				Science			
	3	8	I/S	I/S 13.3	I/S	I/S	I/S
2	4	16	100	13.3	80	6.7	86.7
2012	5	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
2(	6	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	7	25	100	27.3	31.8	40.9	72.7
	8	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	3	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
2013	4	17	100	25	50	25	75
9	5	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
2	5 6 7	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
		15	100	23.1	61.5	15.4	76.9
	8	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S

BRIDGEWATER		
	$\Delta (\Delta I) = I / I Y$	( HARIER

12/14/13-2601601

PASS Performance By Grade Level									
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary		
Social Studies									
	3	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
2	4	16	100	13.3	66.7	20	86.7		
2012	5	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
70	6	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
	7	25	100	40.9	18.2	40.9	59.1		
	8	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
	3	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
33	4	17	100	25	41.7	33.3	75		
2013	5	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
2(	6	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
	7	15	100	30.8	46.2	23.1	69.2		
	8	11	100	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
Writing									
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2012	5	14	92.9	23.1	53.8	23.1	76.9		
2(	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	8	12	100	27.3	45.5	27.3	72.7		
	3	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
3	4	16	100	25	50	25	75		
2013	5	17	100	14.3	57.1	28.6	85.7		
2	6	14	100	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
	7	17	100	6.7	73.3	20	93.3		
	8	19	100	5.3	47.4	47.4	94.7		